



GCE

Psychology

H167/01: Research methods

Advanced Subsidiary GCE

Mark Scheme for June 2019

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.















It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

© OCR 2019

Annotation	Meaning
	Unclear
	Attempts evaluation
	Benefit of doubt
	Context
	Cross
	Evaluation
	Extendable horizontal line
	Extendable horizontal wavy line
	Significant amount of material which doesn't answer the question
	Not answered question
	Good use of resources
	Tick
	Development of point
	Omission mark

Mark Scheme

Section A: Multiple choice

Ques	Answer
1	C
2	A
3	D
4	A
5	A
6	C
7	A
8	B
9	C
10	B
11	B
12	A
13	B
14	B
15	C

Section B: Research design and response

Explain how you would use the self-report method to investigate morality.

You must refer to:

[12]

- the use of a structured interview
- one question that uses a semantic differential scale
- one question that would produce nominal data

You should use your own experience of practical activities to inform your response.

Question			Answer		Marks	Guidance
16					Max 12	-Context = morality, morals, good/bad, right/wrong

Level of response	Details of required features (RFs) included	Justification of decisions made	Reference to own practical work
Good 10-12 marks	- All 3 required features addressed -Accurate and detailed knowledge and understanding of each feature in context - Good evidence of application of required features in context	- Appropriate justification of all decisions and <i>some</i> is contextualized -Well developed line of reasoning that is clear and logically structured	- Explicit reference to own practical work and clear links between own work and the planned research for each required feature. e.g. specific mention of aim or procedural features
Reasonable 7-9 marks	- All 3 required features addressed -Reasonably accurate and detailed knowledge and understanding of each feature -At least two applications of required features in context	- Some appropriate justification of decision related to all three required features (7 marks if only two required features justified) -There was a line of reasoning evident with some structure	-For top band (good) 10 marks if just one RF linked, 11 marks if two and 12 if all three -If there is no explicit clear link between own practical work and <i>any</i> of the 3 required features caps the mark at 9 maximum.
	If two required features are addressed in detail and justified in context and explicit links made to own practical work award 8 marks		
Limited 4-6 marks	- Two of the required features addressed - Limited application of required features OR all required features referred to but in a limited way	- Attempt to justify decision(s) but weak -Evidence of some structure, but weak	NB: Likert Scale not creditworthy
	If one required feature addressed in detail and justified in context and explicit links made to own practical work award 4 marks		
Basic 1-3 marks	- One of the required features addressed - Weak application of required features OR more than one of the required features referred to but in a very brief and/or basic way	- None , or if present very weak	

Write an appropriate research aim for the study. [2]					
Question			Answer	Marks	Guidance
17			Something like ... <i>The aim was to investigate moral reasoning</i> OR <i>The aim was to find out what kind of things may influence how people decide what is right or wrong</i> Etc etc	Max 2	-Context = morality, morals, good/bad, right/wrong
			Clearly written aim	2	
			Attempt to write aim	1	
			The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0	
Identify an appropriate sample for this study. [1]					
Question			Answer	Marks	Guidance
18	(a)		Likely responses: 'young children'; primary school children	Max 1	-Context = morality, morals, good/bad, right/wrong
			Appropriate sample identified	1	-Accept age ranges provided
			The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0	-Sample size is not required but can be creditworthy as part of the response -Sample size alone (e.g. just saying '20 people') is not creditworthy -Must refer to children/childhood in some way. EG- sample may consist of parents/ teacher of young children being used to interview the children

Justify the choice of sample you have identified. [3]				
Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
18	(b)	Likely answer: 'Young children' as the research is aimed at investigating morality in young children and needs to obtain the thoughts and ideas of such a group.	Max 3	-Context = morality, morals, good/bad, right/wrong -Must refer to children/childhood in some way. eg- justification may consist of parents/ teacher of young children being used to interview the children.
		Clear justification of choice of sample in context	3	
		Clear justification of choice of sample but not in context	2	
		Attempt to justify choice of sample (whether in context or not)	1	
		The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0	

Outline one strength of the use of open questions in this study. [3]					
Question			Answer	Marks	Guidance
19	(a)		Likely answers: can provide more detail about the reasons why children have the morals that they do; allows for greater elaboration about influences on morality	Max 3	-Context = morality, morals, good/bad, right/wrong Qualitative on its own = 1 mark
			Clear outline of strength in context	3	
		Attempt to outline strength in context	OR Clear outline strength but not in context	2	
		Brief and/or weak attempt to outline strength (whether in context or not)		1	
		The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information		0	
Outline one weaknesses of the use of open questions in this study. [3]					
Question			Answer	Marks	Guidance
19	(b)		Likely answers: can be more difficult to interpret and analyse responses about morality	Max 3	-Context = morality, morals, good/bad, right/wrong Difficulties in making comparisons as a weakness is creditworthy.
			Clear outline of weakness in context	3	
		Attempt to outline weakness in context	OR Clear outline weakness but not in context	2	
		Brief and/or weak attempt to outline weakness (whether in context or not)		1	
		The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information		0	

Outline two ways that you would address the ethical consideration of 'respect' in the design of this self-report study. [6]						
Question		Answer		Marks	Guidance	
20			Under the new (2015) BPS guidelines the ethical consideration of 'respect' covers: general respect, informed consent, withdrawal, privacy and confidentiality.	Max 6	-Context = morality, morals, good/bad, right/wrong -Responses must relate to the ('new' 2015) BPS guidelines covering 'respect' general respect (respect people's individuality and not show prejudice etc)– i.e.: Informed consent; consent, withdrawal; privacy, confidentiality (anonymity) are all creditworthy.	
			Likely answers could include: obtaining parental consent for children under the age of 16; only using children aged 16+ and asking them about their thoughts about morality when they were younger; allowing children to stop participating if they asked to do so, showed any signs of distress; not recording actual names linked to the data collected about morality; only using fictitious accounts in any scenarios used when questioning children about morality Etc			
			3 marks for each ethical issue addressed			
			Clear outline of how to address ethical issue of 'respect' in context			3
			Attempted outline of how to address ethical issue of 'respect' in context	OR clear outline of how to address ethical issue of 'respect' but not in context		2
			Brief and/or weak outline of how to address ethical issue of 'respect' (whether in context or not)			1
The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information		0				

Outline one strength of the use of an interview rather than a written questionnaire in this study. [3]						
Question			Answer	Marks	Guidance	
21			Likely answers: can see participant's response; can seek clarification / elaboration on points made; can explain questions better. In comparison to a questionnaire.	Max 3	-Context = morality, morals, good/bad, right/wrong participants are more likely to be honest is creditworthy.	
			Clear outline of strength in context with explicit reference to a questionnaire.	3		
			Attempt to outline strength in context	OR Clear outline of strength but not in context		2
			Brief and/or weak attempt to outline strength (whether in context or not)			1
			The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information			0

Identify one thing that may lower the generalisability of the findings from this study. [2]						
Question			Answer	Marks	Guidance	
22			Likely answers: biased sample (e.g. in terms of its size or diversity or gender or age ranges etc); nature of the questions asked (e.g. if too restricted / limiting – enquiring about only one specific aspect of morality etc)	Max 2	-Context = morality, morals, good/bad, right/wrong	
			Clear identification of something that could lower generalisability in context	2		
			Clear identification of something that could lower generalisability, but not in context	OR attempt to identify something that could lower generalisability in context or not		1
			The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0		

Section C: Data analysis and interpretation

Outline two conclusions that can be made from the data collected in this study. [6]					
Question		Answer		Marks	Guidance
23		Conclusions could include: there was a big variety in the number of hours of exercise taken each month, ranging from 0 to 60 hours suggesting that some people either don't have the time or don't value taking exercise; Ratings of stress varied a lot, from 10 to 100 suggesting that stress affects people in many different ways and some people are able to cope with stress better than others perhaps; the relationship between stress and exercise is mixed, as some people who take a lot of exercise (e.g. person 'j') seem to have a lot of stress in their life, whereas for others (e.g. person 'a') taking a lot of exercise is associated with lower levels of stress. So perhaps there is no significant relationship between exercise and stress, so if there is a benefit this does not apply everyone. Accept any other appropriate conclusions here.		6	<p>-Context = stress and exercise etc</p> <p>-Clear (explicit) interpretation of findings (not simply stating a finding) is required for top band</p> <p>-explicit reference to cause-and-effect is not creditworthy</p>
		3 marks for each conclusion			
		Clear, detailed response in context		3	
		Clear, detailed response but not in context	OR attempt in context	2	
		Brief and/or weak attempt to outline a conclusion (whether in context or not)	OR simply stating a finding	1	
		The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information		0	

Draw a fully labelled scatterdiagram displaying the data from this study. [4]

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
24	<div><p>Scatterdiagram showing the relationship between amount of exercise taken each month and stress</p><p>Stress rating</p><p>Average number of hours exercise taken each month</p></div>	Max 4	-*A title is not essential, but can add clarity to otherwise unclear labels on axes -Labels on axes must be clear. For example just putting 'exercise' is unclear (*but remember this can be clarified by a title if provided) co-variables exercise or stress can be on x or y axis.
	1 mark is awarded for correctly plotting the data 1 mark is awarded for clear labelling of the x axis 1 mark is awarded for clear labelling of the y axis 1 mark is awarded for units of measurement on both axes		
	All features included	4	
	3 features included	3	
	2 features included	2	
	1 feature included	1	
	The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0	

Outline two things that could have affected the validity of the data collected in this study. [6]						
Question			Answer	Marks	Guidance	
25			Possible things include: participants not wanting to disclose how much/little exercise they engage in (social desirability); participants not wanting to disclose how much stress they experience for fear of embarrassment; problems using a quantitative scale to convey stress experienced (can only report the amount, not type of stress experienced) etc etc.	Max 6	-Context = stress and exercise etc -Accept as creditworthy the acknowledgement that it would not be valid to try to establish cause-and-effect from the data collected in a correlation study -Accept sample size as something that could affect validity. -accept extraneous variables -subjective interpretation -individual differences	
			For each thing 3 marks max ...			
			Clear outline in context			3
			Attempted outline in context	OR Clear outline, but not in context		2
			Brief and/or weak outline (whether in context or not)			1
			The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information			0
Explain why the Spearman's Rho would be the appropriate non-parametric inferential statistical test to use to analyse the data from this study. [3]						
Question			Answer	Marks	Guidance	
26			Reasons: -test of correlation/relationship (and the study investigated the relationship between exercise and stress) - at least ordinal data collected (number of hours exercised and ratings of stress)	Max 3	-Context = stress and exercise etc	
			Two appropriate reasons provided, both in context			3
			Two appropriate reasons provided, but only one, or neither in context			2
			One appropriate reason provided (whether in context or not)			1
			The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information			0

After carrying out a Spearman's Rho inferential statistical test the calculated value obtained was $r_s = -0.37$.

Explain what this means. [3]

Question			Answer	Marks	Guidance
27			This means that there was a weak, negative correlation between the amount of exercise taken each month and the amount of stress experienced.	Max 3	-Context = stress and exercise etc -Any reference to 'difference' as opposed to correlation or relationship between exercise and stress is not creditworthy.
			Reference to both the strength (weak) and direction (negative) of the correlation in context	3	
			Reference to both the strength (weak) and direction (negative) of the correlation, but not in context	2	
			OR Reference to either the strength (weak) OR direction (negative) in context	2	
			Reference to either the strength (weak) or direction (negative) of the correlation, but neither in context.	1	
			The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0	

The standard deviation was calculated for the number of hours exercised each month and found to be 18.275666882497.

(a) What is this written to two significant figures? [1]

Question			Answer	Marks	Guidance
28	(a)		18	Max 1	
			Correct answer written to two significant figures	1	
			The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0	

Explain what this informs us about the number of hours exercised each month by participants in this study. [2]				
Question		Answer		Marks
				Guidance
28	(b)	This informs us that the amount of exercise taken by each participant each month varies quite a lot, with some individuals engaging in a lot more exercise than others.		Max 2
		Clear explanation in context		2
		Clear explanation but not in context	OR attempted explanation in context or not	1
		The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information		0

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
The Triangle Building
Shaftesbury Road
Cambridge
CB2 8EA

OCR Customer Contact Centre

Education and Learning

Telephone: 01223 553998

Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations
is a Company Limited by Guarantee
Registered in England
Registered Office; The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA
Registered Company Number: 3484466
OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
Head office
Telephone: 01223 552552
Facsimile: 01223 552553

© OCR 2019

